Ramsey attacks initiative process

On February 13th, the City Council held a study session with members of the Charter Review Committee. The Committee had recommended the following amendments for placement on the June 4, 1974 primary ballot:

- Charter Amendment 1 would eliminate the annual provision which allows an initiative ordinance to be placed on the ballot by signatures equal to 5% of the voters for Mayor in the last election. In 1973, the voters passed several 5% initiatives including the Neighborhood Preservation Ordinance and the Police Preservation Ordinance.
- Charter Amendment 2 would change city council elections from at-large to district. The amendment was approved by the Charter Review Committee. At the Council study session, the three proposed charter amendments were explained by representatives of the Charter Review Committee.
- Councilman Henry Ramsey stated that he would seek to eliminate from the Charter the current provision which allows an initiative ordinance to be placed on the ballot by signatures equal to 5% of the voters for Mayor in the last election. In April 1973, the voters passed several 5% initiatives including the Neighborhood Preservation Ordinance and the Police Preservation Ordinance, both of which were opposed by the Council majority.
- Ramsey stated that the 5% initiative should be removed from the Charter because the Charter was not designed by initiative. Ramsey's efforts to eliminate the 5% petition were offered support by the Berkeley Chamber of Commerce and the Berkeley League of Women Voters. The Charter Review Committee had recommended that his amendment be continued.

No votes were taken at this meeting and the Council set a public hearing on the Charter Review Committee's report to be held on Monday, February 25th, at 7:30 p.m. at the Council Chambers.

The City Council has the power to accept the Charter Review Committee's proposals and place them on the ballot, or to reject or amend them. The Committee indicated its desire that the proposal be continued on p. 5.

GRASSROOTS REMINDS YOU

only 2 more weeks to get the last 3,000 signatures

The Berkeley Campaign Spending Reform Act and Fair Representation Ordinance require 11,000 signatures of registered Berkeley voters in order to be placed on the ballot for the June election (for a detailed explanation of the initiatives, see article, p. 3).

Initiative petitions may be signed at tables at Sather Gate and outside all Berkeley Co-op supermarkets.

Don't forget to sign!

If you can help sit at tables or circulate a petition in your neighborhood call 841-0372.

Ramsey immersed in Gazette

PUBLIC HEARING ON MODEL CITIES CHARGES AGAINST TURITZ

Following the release of "confidential" Model Cities' minutes at a Black Caucus/GRASSROOTS press conference January 30, action was taken by members of South Berkeley Model Cities' Board of Directors to remove Gene Turitz from the Board because of his involvement in the news leak. In a February 6 memo to SBMCBD, Leo Brown, SBMCBD Vice-President, and Elvin Littlefield, SBMCBD President, charged Turitz with violating a Model Cities' by-law which states "no member of this corporation (SBMCBD) shall act as spokesman for the South Berkeley Model Cities' Neighborhood Council without the prior authorization of the Executive Committee or the Board of Directors."

Turitz, however, claims that he couldn't have been violating the by-laws since the meeting was held secretly and was not an official Model Cities' meeting. "The idea that public officials can secretly meet to determine the fate of the community is what we have been fighting for years. This so-called 'official' meeting smacks of Watergate, and is reminiscent of the secrecy surrounding U.S. involvement in Southeast Asia," he said.

Marcelle Szierek and Joseph Schwarzenbach, SBMCBD members in a recent letter to Board members, fully supported Turitz' claim: "There is nothing in the charges to indicate that Mr. Turitz ever represented himself or acted as a spokesman for Model Cities at the press conference or subsequently... The charge of violating the by-laws, by becoming a spokesman for the Board, is a phony issue to cover up the fact that Mr. Turitz has not really violated any part of these regulations," they said.

In demanding "that any resolutions recommending removal of Mr. Turitz should be rescinded," Szierek and Schwarzenbach raised the question of whether the meeting was legitimate. "Many of us never received notice of this meeting and its subject matter as it was required in the by-laws... [Further­ more,] we could not find a notice of a meeting in a newspaper of general circulation published at least 24 hours before the meeting. This is also required by the by-laws," they continued.

Ramsey attacked by bay area coalition fights PG&E increase

A broad Bay Area-wide Coalition, full of optimism and spirit, has come together to fight the latest proposed PG&E rate increases. E&G-Energy and Gas for the People—has already mobilized some widely disparate groups against the latest PG&E rate grab.

On February 5, E&G-E held a demonstration at the Public Utilities Commission hearings which involved several hundred people, then held a rally and meeting at PG&E headquarters in S.F. On Wednesday, Feb. 13, about 50 representatives met with PG&E assistant general counsel Malcolm Furshb, to request that PG&E withdraw its rate increase and distribute E&G-E anti-rate increase literature in their billing process. PG&E, needless to say, refused.

E&G-E are presently laying the foundation for as broad a coalition as possible to fight PG&E. Participating groups include Northern California Welfare Rights Mission Coalition, Women's Action Training Center, locals of the SEIU and ILWU labor unions, Delancy Street Foundation, Liberation School, Berkeley Coop, San Francisco Consumer Action and a number of church and senior citizen groups. Mike Miller, a long-time San Francisco organizer active with Mission Coalition and other community groups and unions, has provided the main organizing energy for what he hopes will be a large enough coalition to turn back the rate increase.

The immediate issue is a $233 million increase, in the wake of 11 rate increases in the last 13 months. This amount translates into $2-$3 per month for the average bill, over and above the previous increases. PG&E's request is based on their desire for an increased rate of profit, up to 8.9%, a rate of return which they claim they need to raise capital to finance expansion. Officially, they have to go through the Public Utilities Commission, those guardians of the people's welfare who rarely turn down an increase.

The strategy of E&G-E involves
"silent" majority

The City Council majority has taken a mandate their campaign slogan about "mobilizing the city moving." And like most self-serving politicians they have realized that the most effective means of carrying out their own programs lies in purging and ignoring the opposition.

In the 1972-73 fiscal budget was passed as a result of backroom meetings. Last summer, the most effective means of carrying out their own programs lies in purging and "getting the city moving." And like most self-serving politicians they have realized that

The transgressor in this case is obviously not Turitz, who aided in informing the public of a matter which directly affects all of us, but rather the individuals responsible for the leak to the public. As the member of the media most instrumental in releasing the "confidential" minutes, Grunewald believes the general public, and particularly members of the city's minority communities, were entitled to know the subject of the complaints and discussions.

Some of the individuals withholding the information claimed they did so to protect the minority officers (who testified at the meeting to widespread racism within the Berkeley Police Department) from retaliation which might follow if their superiors knew of the testimony. Actually, however, Acting Police Chief Charles Plummer was made aware of the meeting before the public was informed. In view of this fact, as well as the fact that not until after the public disclosure was made did minority officers instituted, it is obvious the disclosure served to protect the officers involved. Withholding the information served no other than those politicians who believe they are capable of conducting city business without public input. Such government by secrecy has no place in Model Cities, the City Council or the others supposedly "public" institution. Berkeley has already witnessed the transformation of its City Council from a public forum of nine members to a secret chamber. If, as the Council majority maintains, the city is "moving," it is moving over the public domain and into backrooms, away from the people, toward secrecy and cover-up.
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Dear Collective:

Thank you for the opportunity to contribute to Grassroots. I am not a member of any organization and I do not represent any organization. I am merely a concerned citizen who is fighting for justice. I hope my contributions will help bring attention to the issues we are facing.

Very truly yours,

Dr. David Fong

LETTERS TO THE COLLECTIVE

Glad to renew. One complaint though, no news on the California Marijuana Initiative! Berkeley organizer Tom Plante, 841-5225, would be more than happy to fill you in on local activities, or ANMIFBA (SBMCBD) if you could inform you of the statewide picture.

Keep up the good work on the newspaper.

Ken Gross

Wounded Knee Legal Defense/Offense Committee, Box 255, Sioux Falls, S.D. 57012
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PRC and the disclosure that he had Taylor was less enthusiastic about the police review. He was met with charges of invasion of privacy, however, since the vast majority of reporters stampeded out the door when a Symbionese Liberation Army communicant arrived at KPFA one half hour after the press conference began.

Any assessment of the new City Manager would be premature. His appearance at the NOBBS Fair would certainly not have been duplicated by past City Managers Hanley and Williamson. Taylor's meeting with the PRC and the disclosure that he had attended the PRC's public hearing on the Russell Street shooting committee favorably with Williamson's complete lack of interest in the principles for which the PRC was created. However, it should be noted that Taylor has yet to provide the PRC with any information it needs to conduct its investigation. His position on Charter Review and other pressing issues is also unclear. In short, all that we have to date is an image of a man presently untainted by the usual Berkeley affiliations, who seems to be genuinely interested in finding out what Berkeley is all about. Still, it's only an image, and until we know Taylor well, we can only say that we will not judge him by appearances. But we are encouraged by the fact that his position on Charter Review and other pressing issues is also unclear.

In the U.S. longest undeclared war, the Third Battle of Wounded Knee takes place in 1974. The entire Indian Movement took place in 1974.几十 Indians are facing the white man's court system. Your contribution can help balance the scales of justice.
In addition, the CRA adjusts for the tremendous electoral advantage that incumbents have by setting a limit on their campaigns for reelection that is 10 forwarding lower.

What are the limits set by the CRA reasonable? These limits amount to about 14 cents per registered voter, per candidate, 10 cents per voter, for each side to a proposition. The limits are in line with recent average expenditures of Berkeley City Council candidates $50 in 1973, $8,000 in 1973.

To set the limits higher would continue the unfair advantages of the well-off candidates who set lower limits would not allow for adequate dissemination of information in the electorate.

What about slates? The effect of CRA would be neither to encourage or discourage candidates from running together. Joint expenditures would be in part charged to each candidate included.

Candidates would have to decide whether to run independently or as members of a slate on the basis of political and programmatic considerations, not because of the financial incentives or penalties.

How would the ordinance be enforced? The Berkeley Fair Campaign Practices Commission, composed of nine members, would be established to administer the ordinance, and investigate and prosecute violations. In addition, the City Council, commission members, would be authorized to sue or to initiate actions in court to compel compliance with the ordinance.

The penalties for violation would include fines of triple the amount of the violation, forfeiture of elective office and violations would be prohibited from running for city offices for five years following conviction.

Why does the ordinance include provisions for public disclosure of campaign contributions? Berkeley needs to know who their city officials are receiving contributions from. Voting records of councilmembers, for example, can be better evaluated if the source of their campaign contributions is known. For example, efforts of councilmembers to curtail street vending on Telegraph Avenue can be seen in the light of the contributions of Telegraph Avenue businessmen.

I signed the Common Cause People's Statewide Initiative (Statewide Political Reform Act). Who is there another one in Berkeley? The Statewide Initiative only regulates state elections. The CRA will apply to municipal elections.

In any case, will the CRA pass? You would not be able to contribute more than $250 to the city campaign of your choice. If you are unaffected by this provision, you can expect to experience an increase in the amount of control you have over electoral politics.

We can better understand effects of CRA if we speculate how life might be different if CRA were already in effect. The City Council, dominated by members whose campaigns were heavily financed by real estate interests, has been unwilling to pass a rent control ordinance, even though a majority of Berkeley voters have voted for such a measure. If our City Council had been elected under the CRA you might even be paying less rent.

We get and why is there another one in Berkeley? This initiative is known as Berkeley City Council candidates $3000 on average spent by successful candidates and $4500 for unsuccessful candidates. By imposing reasonable limits on the expenses of campaigns, and by prohibiting very large contributions from any one source, the financial incentives for candidates to favor potentially generous contributors is reduced. The handicap suffered by candidates and initiatives that do not serve large financial interests would be reduced.

How would Berkeley elections be different under the CRA? If campaign expenditures are limited to $10,000, candidates in Berkeley would have to go out there into the streets, the issues, volunteers, and contact between the candidate and the electorate. No longer would a well-financed candidate be able to flood Berkeley with slick mailings, media advertisements, paid precinct workers, and other effective, but expensive techniques inaccessible to their poorer opponents.

Similarly, proponents and opponents of initiatives would have to work much harder to be heard. The electorate is sure to be made.

The Clurter Review Committee, after 100 meetings over two years has failed to agree on a replacement for the present Berkeley council all elected at large. There are several proposals with divided support still being talked about but only one proposal is likely to gain majority support. In the last 6 months, those favoring an alternative to the present system have worked hard generating and refining proposals and researching the history of the present system and all of the historical ramifications. Maps, charts, and figures have been presented pointing to the existing inequities in the present system. Still, there are those, while admitting that our arguments are sound, who say the buffer, what is correct, who support the present system. There has never been any substantiating material for any of their arguments. They answer our points with Nixom-Berkeley 4 platitude about "how good it is." Why? Because of who are they? And the people represent. The committee has no lack of lawyers, accountants, and professors. They have continually supported conservative proposals or watered-down left proposals when unable to formulate their own proposals. This "haphazard" is now firmly in control of the Clurter Review Committee.

What should the left do? There is one more ECB meeting which will deal with the form of government. Some feel that there is a slight possibility that a "good" compromise will be worked out. A motion in support of the present at-large system is sure to be made.

What kind of compromise is possible? At the last meeting on February 4, six out of twenty voted in favor of a 15-person council all elected by district with a titular mayor selected by the council. These six were the radicals. For we have about 4,000. We can qualify the CRA if we need help. Registered Berkeley voters are needed to circulate petitions. You can sign petitions at Sather Gate (Monday-Friday; 10-4) or at the corner of Durant and Telegraph (Monday-Saturday; 10-4).

Eve Bach and Jeff Rudolph

COUNCIL REFORM BOGS DOWN
music played ineptly. I had the same...
The council voted 10-3 to adopt the proposal, with Mayor LeValle, Councilwoman Hone and Councilman Widener opposing it. The council had already approved the renewals of two other restaurants, the Midnight Special and the Berglund House, and had rejected a plan for a new restaurant, the Glass House, on the corner of Third and Chestnut streets.

The council also discussed the matter of the Coast Guard restaurant, which is located on the waterfront. The council voted 7-2 to approve the proposal, with Mayor LeValle and Councilman Widener opposing it.

The council also heard a presentation from the Berkeley Police Department on the issue of police racism. The council voted 9-2 to approve the proposal, with Mayor LeValle and Councilman Widener opposing it.

The council also heard a presentation from the Berkeley City Council on the proposed changes to the city's charter. The council voted 10-3 to approve the proposal, with Mayor LeValle, Councilwoman Hone and Councilman Widener opposing it.

The council also heard a presentation from the Berkeley City Council on the proposed changes to the city's charter. The council voted 9-2 to approve the proposal, with Mayor LeValle and Councilman Widener opposing it.

The council also heard a presentation from the Berkeley City Council on the proposed changes to the city's charter. The council voted 8-3 to approve the proposal, with Mayor LeValle, Councilwoman Hone and Councilman Widener opposing it.

The council also heard a presentation from the Berkeley City Council on the proposed changes to the city's charter. The council voted 7-2 to approve the proposal, with Mayor LeValle and Councilman Widener opposing it.

The council also heard a presentation from the Berkeley City Council on the proposed changes to the city's charter. The council voted 6-3 to approve the proposal, with Mayor LeValle and Councilman Widener opposing it.

The council also heard a presentation from the Berkeley City Council on the proposed changes to the city's charter. The council voted 5-4 to approve the proposal, with Mayor LeValle and Councilman Widener opposing it.
on February 4th the Berkeley Teacher's Association announced withdrawal of its five members from the Certificated Employees Council (CEC). reiterating charges of bad faith and a need for realigning membership of the two organizations. The CEC has approximately 51% of the district's teachers and the CFT (Berkeley Federation of Teachers) has approximately 49%.

In his report to the Board on Tuesday, Feb. 5, Superintendent Richard Foster announced five new BT A members selected on the CEC. This came as a surprise to some BT A members who claim these five are not selected by the BT A. BT A says any negotiations with these five are to be withdrawn. President Johnson said she was not prepared to vote on the staffing pattern proposal. BT A members Johnson and Monheimer to reaffirm the Union's charge of bad faith in changing negotiators from Board to the Alameda Central Labor Council. The March 1 deadline is the date by which the Board should decide on the staffing pattern. Foster submitted a counter proposal. He called it "variable scheduling," and claimed it was an educational rationale for keeping educational options open to students while shortening their school day. He admitted that "The major drawback will be the curtailment of in-depth exploration because the amount of time spent in some of the classes will be decreased." He also admitted that "much thought needs to be given to the work load of staff." Could that mean increased work load? In his report to the Board on Tuesday, Feb. 5, Superintendent Richard Foster announced five new BT A members seated on the CEC. This came as a surprise to some BT A members who claim these five were not selected by the BT A. BT A says any negotiations with these five are illegal.

Bad Faith

Judy Bodenhausen, President of the BT A, spoke at the Feb. 5 meeting reaffirming the Union's charge of bad faith in changing negotiators from Board members Johnson and Monheimer to lame-duck superintendent Foster, but she said, "The Union will continue to negotiate until March 3. We believe some progress is being made." The Board's position is strengthened by the fact that they have received strike sanction from the Alameda Central Labor Council. As the Board has one scheduled meeting before March 1, they agreed to vote on the staffing pattern on February 19. Foster argues that a "variable scheduling" proposal (read "cuts") of non-classroom teachers will be decreased. He also admitted that "The major drawback will be the curtailment of in-depth exploration because the amount of time spent in some of the classes will be decreased." He also admitted that "much thought needs to be given to the work load of staff." Could that mean increased work load? In his report to the Board on Tuesday, Feb. 5, Superintendent Richard Foster announced five new BT A members seated on the CEC. This came as a surprise to some BT A members who claim these five were not selected by the BT A. BT A says any negotiations with these five are illegal.
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WHM: COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS MAY BE HAZARDOUS TO PRISONERS
Many people have the impression that it is now no longer possible to lock a person up in prison. The passage in California's most recent mental commitment law, Governor Reagan's interest in closing state mental health centers, the general change from the state hospital system to community mental health centers, all have contributed to the general impression that mental commitment is not a big problem in California.

When you visit a community mental health center, keep in mind that there is the possibility that someone may feel that you might require hospitalization. If they do, under law, you can detain you for 72 hours or send you to Nevada State Hospital for the same 72-hour hold. At the end of the three days it will be determined whether they release you or certify you for 14 days of intensive treatment. You have the right at this time to file a writ of habeas corpus and the right to a lawyer for your release. Having a lawyer is very important. Many times his/her presence will induce the hospital to release you. If you find yourself in prison, you should file an official complaint and write to the public defender's office in your county. We at the Berkeley Women's Center have four full-time attorneys contact the National Lawyers Guild, 285-0966, for a referral.

The state of California is spending a fortune of time and money, possibly of spending some time in a mental hospital you have the following rights... to wear your own clothes, keep and use your own possessions; to keep and have a reasonable amount of your own money; to have access to indeterminate storage space for personal use; to see visitors daily, to have reasonable access to telephones, to mail and receive unopened correspondence, to have ready access to stamps, to be free from shock treatment and to be free from lobotomy.

BREAKAWAY'S BACK
Berkeley- Oakland Breakaway, a free school for women, is starting its spring session in March. Breakaway functions as a community resource center by putting women in touch with other women who share common interests or with those who have greater skills and information. The emphasis is on classes which have special interest for women (women's studies, self-defense, mechanical skills, etc) and on areas which have previously been closed to women (mechanical skills and self-defense). Classes are $3.00 each or two for $5.00 and meet once a week for ten weeks. We encourage any woman with a skill to offer to come and offer a course through Breakaway.

The calendar will be available in the beginning of the term in the Berkeley YWCA. The catalogues will be obtained in the following places: Up Haste, 2506 B Haste, Berkeley; the Berkeley YWCA, 2134 Allston Way, Berkeley; the Berkeley Women's Health Collective, 2214 Grove Street; the Berkeley YWCA, 1515 Webster; and A Woman's Place Bookstore, 5251 Broadway, Oakland.

Registration will be held Sunday, March 3, from 300-30 P.M. at Unitas 2700 Bancroft Way, Berkeley. Additional information will be in the catalogue.

BERKELEY WOMEN'S CENTER
The Berkeley Women's Center has scheduled the following activities for this week:

Sunday, February 24, 8-10 P.M. Orientation for consciousness-raising groups for women over 30.

Tuesday, February 26, 7-9 P.M. Rep. Counseling & Referral - a free time for women to come and talk with someone about their problems and counseling, therapists, and groups.

Tuesday, February 26, 8-10 P.M. Drop-in gay rape groups meet every Tuesday.

Thursday, February 28, 7-30 P.M. "Women and Feminism: "Open discussion on issues such as How are psychotherapy and feminism related? What makes a woman a feminist therapist? All of these activities are free and open to women in the community. For any further questions about these activities or about the Center, the call time is 4-52 between 1-7 P.M. Monday-Friday. The Center is located at 2134 Allston Way (in the YWCA).

PEOPLE'S RADICAL PSYCHIATRY CLINIC
now offering free drop-in groups
7:30-9:30 P.M.
2244 McKelvey (Berkeley)
We do ongoing problem-solving groups and use transactional analysis, gestalt, body work. We are a community group offering creative solutions. We're into teaching people skills to take care of their mental health. We're aware of the past political oppression: playing in keeping people apart and not together.

If you're interested in the possibility of child care during groups, call 843-3885 before 5 P.M.

SUDS MEET TAYLOR
SUDS (the neighborhood bound by this area) University, Dwight and San Pablo) will conduct a special hearing before Judge Alfonso Zirpoli. During this hearing the attorneys might bring up a motion to have the Six transferred out of the 24, 200-210, p.m. in the Berkeley Room at 24, 200, p.m. at the Charley Don Top Lou on Action Street between Alton and Alameda. In case of need to reschedule the hearing will be held in the Employee's Assembly Room of the Corporation Yard (enter from Bancroft Way just west of Action Street).

LAMPS OFFERS INFO
The Center for the Study of Legal Advocacy and Mental Patient Status is a research and energy center concerned with the problems of those labeled mentally ill. We do not see mental illness as a medical problem which exists in individuals who must be coerced but rather as a social and psychological problem. By diverting attention from social ills to suffering individuals those social ills are allowed to flourish.

LAMPS current projects:
* Setting up a panel of attorneys to handle cases of persons seeking release from mental institutions or groups that have problems because of a history of mental hospitalization, job discrimination, etc.
* Working on statutory reform of California mental health laws.
* Writing a resource guide of groups and individuals in the U.S. who are working in the field of law and psychiatry.
* Working on a bibliography of law and psychiatry-related topics.
* Clearground function.
* Researching publications LAMPS articles have appeared in Madrona Mental Network, Rough Times, Friedman, and several law journals.

LAMPS INFORMATION PACKET
A 1. statement on LAMPS' history and present activities 2. A copy of Rough Times - the mental patients' organizing edition 3. Informational packets LAMPS is from the first five issues of Madrona Mental Network 4. An article written by LAMPS' director on the problems concerning the right to treatment 5. A reprint of an article on sexism in psychotherapy and feminism related topics 6. Changeheart function.

The dinner is being held at the First Unitarian Church, 51 Lawrence Road, Kennington, Tam. 4-00 P.M. Sunday, February 24, 1974. Contribution $7.50. Tickets can be obtained by calling Tickr, 233-6248 or by sending a check to Curley Mende, 6852 Cutting Blvd, El Cerrito 94530. A Woman's Place be held in the Employee's Assembly Room of the Corporation Yard (enter from Bancroft Way just west of Action Street).

We're interested in the possibility of child care during groups, call 843-3885 before 5 P.M.

A Walker for Walde Festi
A "WALK FOR WALDE FESTIVAL" will be held on Sunday, February 25 at 2-5 P.M. at Provo Park, Grove and Center Sts. The Incoption will play Dixie End there will be Food, Drink and Fun. Interested people please contact Bud Mordon at 526-1507 or Al DeLine at 545-9505.

37TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE LINCOLN BRIGADE
It is 37 years since the Abraham Lincoln Brigade from the Spanish people against an alliance of Franco legions, Nazi Germany and Fascist Italy. They were fighting against the war, but the fight never ended. For 37 years, despite Franco's secret police and the war itself, the Lincoln Brigade fights on today. We, as a community, have compassion for the people - workers, students, Basques and Catalonians, who have continued their struggle for 37 years. Our thanks to Nancy Barret and Claude Mende, 6852 Cutting Blvd, El Cerrito 94530.

The dinner is being held at the First Unitarian Church, 51 Lawrence Road, Kennington. Tam. 4-00 P.M. Sunday, February 24, 1974. Contribution $7.50. Tickets can be obtained by calling Tickr, 233-6248 or by sending a check to Curley Mende, 6852 Cutting Blvd, El Cerrito 94530.

Our thanks to Nancy Barret and Claude Mende, 6852 Cutting Blvd, El Cerrito 94530.
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It is 37 years since the Abraham Lincoln Brigade from the Spanish people against an alliance of Franco legions, Nazi Germany and Fascist Italy. They were fighting against the war, but the fight never ended. For 37 years, despite Franco's secret police and the war itself, the Lincoln Brigade fights on today. We, as a community, have compassion for the people - workers, students, Basques and Catalonians, who have continued their struggle for 37 years. Our thanks to Nancy Barret and Claude Mende, 6852 Cutting Blvd, El Cerrito 94530.
herrick faces problems in proposed growth

Venerable Herrick Hospital, the oldest hospital in Berkeley, is now taking the first steps towards a major reconstruction of its physical facilities, which may ultimately result in replacement of the entire hospital. Herrick's existing facilities have been ruled "non-conforming" by the City and the Alameda CHPC, which has determined that any proposal for replacement must show that approval will significantly improve the health care services in the area. The major reconstruction of Herrick Hospital might take as much as 10 years for all plans to be completed.

People who have endorsed the general statement "in the Berkeley area. Over 55% of its physical facilities, which may ultimately result in replacement of the entire hospital. Herrick's existing facilities have been ruled "non-conforming" by the City and the Alameda CHPC, which has determined that any proposal for replacement must show that approval will significantly improve the health care services in the area. The major reconstruction of Herrick Hospital might take as much as 10 years for all plans to be completed. The final decision of the Alameda CHPC is subject to review by the Bay Area and State CHPC. "Planning for facilities will consider the needs and wants of the consumers of the services and the community. The applicant bears the responsibility and burden of proof to justify the need, as defined above, for a proposed project." The Alameda Community Health Planning Council

KISSINGER COMING . .

to the St. Francis Hotel. Demonstrate at noon on Thursday February 28th at Union Square against the Nixon Administration and U.S. policy abroad.
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